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Coronado
Well-functioning financial system is essential for sustainable economic growth and development – financial innovation drives improvement of the financial system, and finance science, technology, and economic need drive financial innovation.

Robert M. “Nobel Perspectives”

Crisis can slow or even reverse financial innovation as in 2008-9. But crisis can also induce implementation of financial innovation which leads to a permanently improved financial system, as in the 1970s-1980s.

When did Finance become a science? 1950s-1960s

When and why did finance science and finance practice become inexorably connected? 1970s-1980s
1952 Diversification—Markowitz Mean-Variance Portfolio Theory
1953 Role of securities in optimal risk allocation—Arrow
1958 Hedging—Tobin risk-free asset in portfolio theory
1958 Corporate finance capital structure and payout policy—Miller and Modigliani
1960-3 First comprehensive individual stock return database, Chicago Center for Research in Security Prices
1963-5 Efficient Market Hypothesis—Fama; Samuelson
1965 Risk-based differences in expected returns—Sharpe-Lintner-Mossin Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)
1965-70 Testing of various institutional investor performance using CAPM—Jensen, Roll
Major Financial and Economic Crisis 1970s: Risk Explosion and Stagflation in USA

- Multi-dimensional explosion of volatilities in the western economies reflected in financial systems
- Fall of Bretton Woods currency system
- First oil crisis in 1973-4 and a second one in 1979
- Double-digit inflation in the US highest since Civil War
- Double-digit interest rates, highest since Civil War
- No mortgage money: Regulation Q -5% deposit interest cap
- High unemployment ~9%:
- “Stagflation” unknown, and still unsolved, economic disease
- Stock market fell 50% in real terms mid 1973 – 1974
- 1973-1975 recession was really a 1970s recession because its effects extended into the 1980s
Risk Explosion 1970s Drives an Explosion of Financial Innovation in USA--Later Adopted Throughout the World--Finance Science and Practice Become Inexorably Linked

- Option exchange: financial value insurance
- Financial futures for currencies, interest rates, stocks
- NASDAQ, first electronic stock market
- Money market funds, high-yield and floating rate bonds
- Index funds: Stage Coach Fund 1970 & Vanguard 1975
- TIAA-CREF international diversification in stocks 1972
- ERISA 1974 modern employer-funded pension system in US
- May Day 1975 permitted negotiated commissions on stock trading
- Debt securitization and creation of a national mortgage market
- Eliminate destructive regulations: deposit rate ceilings
- Foundation set for globalization of capital markets: derivative markets adopted throughout the world and global diversification
- Finance science: existing and breakthrough quantitative models and data bases were essential for implementing these innovations
Derivative contracts can redistribute risks to those who are better equipped to bear them, in a non-invasive and reversible fashion

- Eliminating the largest risk in banks (1980s)
  - How the largest risk in banks was eliminated forever without disturbing how they serve their customers or increasing the costs of the services

- Lowering cost with a greener solution (1990s)
  - Leipzig Example: Creating a “synthetic pipeline” for a lower-cost and greener solution for a city to expand electric power capacity to grow
  - TVA Example: Creating synthetic power plants for more efficient energy resource use and a greener world in expanding electric power capacity for growth and development

- Implementing more-efficient financial stabilization and growth policies (2018)
  - China Example: Capital controls, governance and local investment government stabilization policies and comparative-advantage strategy for growth, each executed without bearing the costly “side-effects” from inefficient diversification

- Addressing multiple policy objectives with a single financial innovation (2018)
  - Global Example: Retirement income, funding infrastructure, and hedging tax revenues
  - SeLFIES = standard-of-living indexed, forward-starting, income-only securities
How the Largest Risk in Banks was Eliminated Forever
Interest Rate Swap

**Before Swap:** Bank lends money to customers at a fixed interest rate and provides deposits and pays interest to customers at a floating rate

**Bank Earnings** = fixed-rate paid by borrowers – floating-rate paid to its depositors

Bank enters into an interest-rate swap contract where it

Pays: a fixed-rate rate of interest

Receives: a floating rate of interest

**After:** Still satisfies needs of both customers + swap contract which eliminates interest rate risk

**Bank Earnings** =  
[fixed-rate paid by borrowers – fixed-rate swap] + [floating-rate swap – floating-rate to depositors] = payment for banking services
Interest Rate Risk is No Longer a “Banking-Service” Risk
Interest Rate Swap Derivative Contract Removes Interest Rate Risk for Banks in order to Service their Customers Needs:

FIXED-RATE LOANS FINANCED BY FLOATING-RATE DEPOSITS

FIXED-RATE LOANS FINANCED BY FLOATING-RATE DEPOSITS PLUS AN INTEREST-RATE SWAP
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German reunification in 1990 created rapid economic development and an increased power demand. To meet this demand required greater natural gas supply. Leipzig had two options:

**Option 1**

Spend $50M for a pipeline to the European gas grid and buy UK, Norwegian and Dutch gas at spot prices indexed off the USD price of heating oil at the Upper Rhine delivery point.

**Option 2**

Spend $300M for a new pipeline to connect to the Russian gas grid and enter a 15 year fixed price contract in Deutsche Marks.

Source: Peter Hancock, AIG, 2014
Contractual Synthesis of Assets: Leipzig Gas Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Investment</td>
<td>$50M</td>
<td>$300M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Reduced political risk by avoiding dependence on Russians Lower capital investment</td>
<td>Stable prices of power potentially useful to population accustomed to price controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Gas price volatility</td>
<td>High capital investment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Efficient and Green Solution

A bank provided a 15 year cap on European gas prices at a strike price equal to the Russian fixed price contract in exchange for a premium of $125 MM. The cap is effectively a “synthetic pipeline”.

The price is half of the incremental cost of a physical pipeline to Russia and compensates the bank for hedge mismatches and the need to dynamically adjust hedges over 15 years.

Source: Peter Hancock, AIG, 2014
In 1994, Tennessee Valley Authority, the largest public power utility in the United States, undertook a long-term strategic analysis of the energy demands of its customers into the 21st Century and develop “robust” supply channels, which were not affected materially by external shocks and offered flexibility.

- TVA adopted as one channel an innovative proposal to meet incremental capacity needs by using derivative contracts to buy power as an alternative to building new generating plants.
- The proposal called for the creating of new financial contracts, Option Purchase Agreements, long-dated call(put) options on power purchased from (sold to) counterparts that could deliver the power into the grid.
- As a consequence of the implementation of OPA, TVA did not build two nuclear power plants [equivalent to 35 conventional ones]
- In 2016, TVA was responsible for 3.50% of all electric power generation in the United States.
Accelerating Pace of Technological Progress in Financial Services

Sources: Arner, Barberis, and Buckley (forthcoming); Quinn and Roberds (2008); World Economic Forum (2015).
Capital-Controls Stabilization, Governance and Local Investment Policies Have “Side-Effect” Cost of Inefficient Diversification
Cost of Restricting Investing and Risk-Bearing to Domestic Holders Can be Substantial – China as a Case Study

MSCI World versus MSCI China 1993-2015

Global Diversification Pays

MSCI World versus MSCI China 1993-2015

MSCI World

Sharpe Ratio=0.401

MSCI China (expected)

Sharpe Ratio=0.194

MSCI China (actual)

Sharpe Ratio=0.095

US T-Bill 3-month
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Financial Innovation Can Create Improved Policy-Objectives Implementation without the Unintended Cost of Inefficient Risk Diversification by Separating Risk Flows from Capital Flows, Investment and Governance

Before: SWF/Pension Fund 100% invested in China A Share stocks

China SWF/Pension Fund Return = Return on Chinese A Share stocks
Concentrated Equity Risk

Enter into a Total-Return Swap contract where SWF/Pension Fund

Pays: Return on Chinese A Share stocks
Receives: Return on World stocks

After: Still 100% invested in China stocks as policy requires + swap contract which provides the efficient diversification

China SWF/Pension Fund Return = Return World stocks
Well-Diversified Equity Risk

Note: China only has a cash outflow from the swap when China market outperforms the world markets which are “good times” for China and no need for capital-flight controls and actually receives cash inflow in “bad times”. Non-Chinese counterparty gets efficient exposure to China A Shares from a credit-secure counterparty in size. May also help mitigate “asset bubble” risk in local market.
Relative Advantage of Country Swaps for Diversifying Risk

- **Lower Cost of Capital** through increased global risk-bearing of a country’s risks

- *Always Natural Counterparties Available*: if a country has “too much” risk exposure to itself for efficient diversification, the rest of the world has “too little” risk exposure to that country.

- **Low-Cost Implementation**: Transact directly among sovereign wealth funds, government pension funds, reserves, and central banks, with no need to incur intermediary cost and credit risk

- **Minimizes Moral Hazard** of expropriation, repudiation, taxes or accounting

- **Credit Risk**: no principal amounts at risk; set frequency of payments (.25, 0.5, 1.0 years); “right-way” contract [pay when country is better able]; potential for credit guarantee and/or two-way-marked-to-market collateral
Relative Advantage of Country Swaps for Diversifying Risk

- *Locals perform* industrial governance, trading in shares in local market, and local intermediation distribution of exposures to global asset returns

- *Country* retains full benefits/losses of local-country-specific component of industry returns; it keeps all of its “alpha” and avoids ex-post political risk accusation of “selling off the crown jewels of the country too cheaply”

- *Robust* with respect to local financial system design: works with financial stabilization policies (including capital controls), pay-as-you-go pension system, or no local stock market at all

- *Policy is non-invasive*: doesn’t require change in employment patterns and behavior, changes in industrial structure or changes in financial system design

- *Policy is reversible* by simply entering into an off-setting swap

- *Insurance version*: country swaptions
Forward-starting bond:
- Starts paying at a pre-determined future date (e.g., 2030, 2031…)
- Periodic level-payouts for a fixed period (e.g., 20 or 25 years), with no principal or “balloon” payout at maturity…like an annuity with a pre-set period for payouts
- Payouts are indexed to per-capita consumption: hedges both consumption inflation and standard-of-living risks

Simple structure: each bond pays $5 real per year for 20 years
- Participant selects and buys bond just using their retirement date and requires no other information and no need to take further future actions
- Buying the bond today locks in retirement cash flows in the future
- Payments are protected against both inflation and standard-of-living changes
- Consumption CAPM predicts that an asset which is perfectly correlated with aggregate consumption would be a universally demanded asset for investors
SeLFIES = Standard-of-Living Indexed, Forward-starting, Income-only Securities

An bond innovation that addresses multiple policy objectives:

- retirement funding improvements for individuals and institutions; improve maturity-matching of funding for infrastructure investments; reduce government tax-revenue risk

- A new-design bond issued by government to improve financial market “completion”

- Addresses the challenge of a lack of financial literacy for retirement savers

- Pattern of delayed payouts for many years and then level payouts match infrastructure cash inflow pattern and provide a precise match to cash flow needs of retirees.

- For governments with VAT, the bond payments are hedged by VAT revenues and hedges tax revenue risk

Addressing Multiple Policy Objectives with a Single Bond Innovation: Retirement Income, Funding Infrastructure and Hedging Tax Revenues by Issuing SeLFIES
Derivative Markets and Financial Innovation

- Derivatives are efficient “adapters” between heterogeneous financial systems, which improve global financial integration and diversification.
- Derivatives provide efficient implementation of the three methods of managing risk: diversification, hedging and insurance.
- Derivatives permit efficient risk diversification while implementing other objectives by separating risk-bearing choices from comparative advantage, cash investment, governance, liquidity, expropriation, and tax issues.
- Development of derivative markets for equities, interest rates, currencies and commodities promotes financial stability by multiple channels for risk transfer and information-extraction from prices.
- Derivatives can improve the efficiency of open-market and stabilization operations: efficient trading and issue “open-market policy” securities.
- Informed regulation to realize the benefits of financial innovation while managing its risks.
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